

Program (and Mission) Related Investments

Legal Concepts, Structure & Documentation

Tomer J. Inbar
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler
New York, NY
tinbar@pbwt.com

September 10, 2013

MISSION
INVESTORS
EXCHANGE

Introduction: Why Are We Here?

- Heightened interest in mission related/impact investing generally
- Shrinking boundaries between sectors
 - Rise of mission/impact as a force in the commercial sector
 - 1) Increased awareness and notion of corporate responsibility
 - 2) New corporate forms
- Increased (better) charitable investment opportunities
- More organizations willing/eager to jump in
- Another (effective and complementary) tool to accomplish mission

The Social Context: MRIs and PRIs

- MRIs: profit and social impact
 - Attention to impact outcomes, social values & externalities (e.g., environmental impact, fair labor standards)
 - MRIs may or may not
 - 1) advance charitable purposes
 - a) “Charitable” is usually a higher threshold than “mission-related”
 - 2) offer a market-rate expected return
 - b) MRIs may be considered “prudent” investments
 - 3) count towards pay-out requirements (for a private foundation)
 - c) PRIs generally do

The Social Context: MRIs and PRIs

- PRIs are a subset of the larger MRI universe
 - A PRI is an investment made (by a private foundation) to accomplish one or more charitable purposes
 - A grant in investment clothing
 - Technically unique to private foundations
 - PRIs have distinct tax characteristics and a long history
 - Greater interest in PRIs as focus on mission investing has grown
 - Although public charities are not subject to the PRI rules, many now seek to make investments that are PRI-like
 - Look to the private foundation experience as a model
- Private foundations can also make “pudent” mission investments that are not PRIs

The Social Context: MRIs and PRIs

- PRIs must advance charitable purposes & may not be (purposefully) profit driven
 - Excessive risk/lower financial return: OK if investment achieves a charitable purpose
 - PRIs rarely have market-rate expected return
 - charitable focus and
 - restriction on “profit motive”
 - though ultimately OK if they return a profit
- PRI may be made in/to a for-profit or non-profit entity
- Public charities may (often) follow PRI general guidelines when making MRIs (but with greater flexibility)

The Legal Context for Private Foundations: Jeopardizing Investments

- Section 4944 of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a tax on the “jeopardizing investments” of private foundations
 - An investment will be considered “jeopardizing” if it was not made with ordinary business care and prudence
 - Look at risk/return ratio
 - Case-by-case analysis taking into account portfolio as a whole
 - IRS will not employ hindsight
 - Determination is a function of facts at time of investment decision
- PRIs are an exception to the jeopardizing investment rules

The Legal Context for Private Foundations: Jeopardizing Investments

- Under the (antiquated) Treasury Regulations, certain investments will be more closely scrutinized
 - Margin trades, futures, short selling, puts, calls, and straddles
- Excise tax penalties on foundation and potentially organization managers
 - Excise tax imposed on foundation managers who knowingly make a jeopardizing investment, unless the making was not willful and was due to reasonable cause
 - Written advice from investment counsel can eliminate foundation manager's liability
 - Legal opinion that investment is not a jeopardizing investment (e.g. is a PRI) can also eliminate manager liability

The Legal Context for Public Charities: Analogous Investment Concerns

- State law prudent investing concerns: UPMIFA
 - UPMIFA provides guidance for investment decision making
 - Prudent investor standard
 - Carve out for program–related assets:
 - “[A]n asset held by an institution primarily to accomplish a charitable purpose of the institution and not primarily for investment” (e.g., buildings, classrooms, micro-finance loan fund)
 - But ... question of degree and approach in context of MRIs
 - May end up with a hybrid approach to stewarding MRIs for state law purposes
 - 1) Not necessarily a prudent “investor” standard
 - 2) However, “prudence” required nonetheless
- Contrast to PRI rules

The PRI Requirements

- Three basic PRI requirements:
 - 1) primary purpose to accomplish one or more charitable purposes
 - 2) not have as a significant purpose the production of income or appreciation of property
 - 3) not have as a purpose influencing legislation or intervening in any political campaign of any candidate for public office

The PRI Requirements: Charitable Purposes

- An investment will be considered to be made primarily to accomplish one or more charitable purposes if...
 - it significantly furthers the foundation's exempt activities and
 - it would not have been made **but for** the relationship between the investment and the accomplishment of the foundation's exempt activities

The PRI Requirements: Charitable Purposes

- Typical PRI charitable purposes include:
 - Providing relief to the poor and distressed
 - Revitalization of distressed communities (combatting community deterioration)
 - Lessening the burdens of (the U.S.) government
 - Conducting educational activities/promoting education
 - Protecting and preserving the natural environment
 - Supporting scientific research
 - Education
 - Promotion of health

The PRI Requirements: Financial Returns

- In determining if a significant purpose is the production of income or appreciation of property, relevant factors include
 - Would investors engaged in the investment solely for profit be likely to make the investment on the same terms
 - Foundation's typical risk/return profile for investments of this type
- But note how the world has changed/become more nuanced as the lines between pure for-profit investors, mission investors, charitable investors, etc. have blurred
- The fact that an investment ultimately produces significant income or appreciation should not alter this determination

For-Profit Recipients

- A PRI recipient may be a for-profit entity
 - Charitable benefits should outweigh private benefit
 - Note inherent tension of traditional private benefit concerns in the investing context
 - Calibrate deal terms in order to induce the desired result without giving rise to excessive private benefit
 - Determination of PRI-acceptable deal terms is heavily dependent on specific facts and circumstances

Applicability of Other Private Foundation Rules

- “Taxable expenditure” rules apply
 - Expenditure responsibility is not required for a PRI to a U.S. public charity (or the foreign equivalent thereof)
 - Must exercise expenditure responsibility over a PRI to a non-public charity
- Income from PRIs included in gross investment income for purposes of section 4940 tax
- Self-dealing rules (section 4941)
- Amounts disbursed as PRIs are qualifying distributions for purposes of the 5% payout requirement (section 4942)
- Excess business holdings under section 4943 do not include PRIs

MRIs in Context

- Decision points:
 - MRI v. Grant
 - Can we do both?
- Why and how to choose:
 - 1) Goals & desired outcomes (organizational, programmatic, etc.)
 - 2) What behavior are we trying to encourage
 - 3) Catalyze other funders
 - 4) Sustainability v. subsidy
- How to think of MRIs in the context of larger mission and other Foundation activities

Public Charities as PRI Makers

- PRI (and other private foundation) rules do not apply to public charities
- Many public charities make PRI-like investments
 - E.g., mission or impact investing
- Basic considerations:
 - Structural
 - Fiduciary
 - Practical

PRI Types

- PRIs may take any “investment” form
 - Loans (below market)
 - Equity Investments
 - Direct investments
 - Fund vehicles (LLCs, Limited Partnerships)
 - Guarantees/Letter of Credit
 - Linked Deposits

Choosing the Right Vehicle

- What is the right structure (e.g., loan v. equity v. guaranty) and why
 - Characteristics
 - Differences
 - Benefits
- New corporate structures
 - L3Cs
 - Benefit Corporations

Loan Documents

- Basic
 - Due Diligence
 - Commitment Letter
 - Loan Agreement
 - Promissory Note
 - Officer's Certificates
 - Legal opinion(s)
- Other
 - Security Agreement / UCC Filings
 - Inter-creditor Agreement

Anatomy of a Loan Agreement

- Preamble
 - Identity of the parties
 - Effective Date
- Recitals
 - Purpose for entering into the agreement
 - Background and key assumptions
 - Address issues important for analysis (i.e. how investment fulfills a charitable purpose)

Anatomy of a Loan Agreement

- Loan Terms
 - Principal, Interest Rate, Maturity Date, etc.
 - Purpose of the Loan / Use of Funds
 - Prepayment provisions
- Representations and Warranties
 - What is it important to know?
 - Standard representations
 - duly formed, duly authorized, duly executed and delivered, no conflicts, no litigation, etc.

Anatomy of a Loan Agreement

- Covenants
 - Affirmative
 - Negative
- Conditions to Closing
 - e.g., delivery of all executed documents

Anatomy of a Loan Agreement

- Defaults and Remedies
 - Under what circumstances is borrower liable?
 - Opportunity to cure?
 - What happens if borrower doesn't meet its obligations?
 - Accelerate payments of principal and interest
 - Right to suspend future installments

Anatomy of a Loan Agreement

- Indemnification
 - who is indemnified?
 - what costs are covered?
 - what is the scope of indemnification?
 - procedures for defending indemnified party?
 - exceptions (gross negligence, willful misconduct)

Anatomy of a Loan Agreement

- Miscellaneous
 - Notice
 - Waiver
 - Amendment/Modification
 - Attorneys' Fees
 - Governing Law
 - Entire Agreement
 - Severability
 - Counterparts

The Role & Importance of Programmatic Covenants

- What are we requiring that they do and show?
- How you are going to measure certain outcomes?
- Reporting

Equity: Direct Investment

- Stock Purchase Agreement
- Stock Certificate
- Articles of Incorporation/Bylaws
- Shareholder's Agreement
- Side Letter
- Officer's Certificates
- Legal Opinion

Equity: Intermediary Vehicle

- Private Placement Memorandum
- Subscription Agreement
- Limited Partnership/LLC Agreement
- Side Letter
- Officer's Certificates
- Legal Opinion

The Side Letter

- Distinguish investment from for-profit investors
- Clarify PRI/mission terms
 - Use of funds
 - Restrictions
- Reporting requirements
- Role of foundation/other charities, if any
- Private foundation specific terms: ER
- Exit/withdrawal procedures
 - The dreaded buy-back

The Legal Opinion

- Corporate, tax and investment counsel opinions
- PRI/prudence opinion protects foundation managers
 - Joint and several liability for excise taxes
- Must be a “reasoned written legal opinion”
- Which party should deliver?

Special Considerations for Non-U.S. PRIs

- Determining appropriate standards
 - e.g., “low-income” or “below market”
- Currency exchange risk
- Translations
- Compliance with local law
 - Do I need local counsel?
- Legal restrictions on foreign investment, ownership, and/or lending
- Anti-terrorism considerations
- Expenditure responsibility/foreign equivalency issues

PRI Examples: Treasury Regulations

- Newly issued proposed Treasury Regulations add nine new PRI examples
- Welcome guidance for PRI makers and practitioners
 - Confirmed common practice
 - No real surprises

Original PRI Examples

- The old examples include
 - Loan to small business enterprise located in a deteriorated urban area and owned by members of an economically disadvantaged minority group.
 - Equity investment in a small business enterprise located in a deteriorated urban area and owned by members of an economically disadvantaged minority group
 - OK even though the Foundation may realize a profit if the company is successful and the stock appreciates in value.
 - Loan to a business enterprise which is not owned by low-income persons or minority group members, but is important to the economic well-being of a deteriorated urban area because it employs a substantial number of low-income persons from such area.

Original PRI Examples

- Loan to a large publicly traded company in order to induce it to establish a new plant in a deteriorated urban area (the company would be unwilling to establish the plant absent such inducement).
 - The loan is made pursuant to a program run by the foundation to enhance the economic development of the area by, for example, providing employment opportunities for low-income persons at the new plant.
- Loan to a business providing marketing outlet for low-income farmers in depressed rural area.

New PRI Examples

- The new examples include
 - Equity investment in a financially secure business to induce it to develop a vaccine to prevent a disease that predominantly affects poor individuals in developing countries
 - Equity investment in a new recycling business in a developing country the activities of which will prevent pollution and combat environmental deterioration
 - Loan to a business that employs a large number of poor people in a rural area that suffered significant damage in a natural disaster
 - Loan to poor individuals to start businesses in a developing country that just experienced a natural disaster

New PRI Examples

- Loan to a limited liability company that purchases coffee from poor farmers residing in a developing country, to fund the provision of efficient water management, crop cultivation, pest management, and farm management training to the poor farmers
- Loan to a social welfare organization that conducts community art exhibitions to purchase a large exhibition space
- Deposit as credit support to induce a bank to make a loan to a charitable organization to construct a new child care facility
- Guarantee to induce a bank to make a loan to a charitable organization to construct a new child care facility

IRS Circular 230 disclosure: Any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments or enclosures) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this communication. (The foregoing disclaimer has been affixed pursuant to U.S. Treasury regulations governing tax practitioners.)

The information presented is for general informational purposes only and should not be construed as specific legal advice.

© 2013 Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP